



Curriculum Practices and Classroom Discipline: A Theoretical Perspective

Waseem Ullah¹ & Shafaq Hanif² & Rusmita³ & Truong Thi Cam Tu⁴

^{1,2,4} International School of Chinese Studies, Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an, China
Correspondence Email: alonwasi@gmail.com

³ Doctoral Student at Faculty of Education, Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an, China
Correspondence: Waseem Ullah, Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an, China
Email: alonwasi@gmail.com¹; shafaqhanif241@gmail.com²; rusmitamita@snnu.edu.cn³;
jinxiu2k1@gmail.com⁴

DOI: 10.53103/cjess.v5i1.299

Abstract

The curriculum and discipline in the classroom are practices, which help to greatly develop round educational experience, which integrates good academic achievement with successful management of behavioral part. Practices of curriculum means the practice of the planning and providing of subject or content to meet the needs of all the learners that promote inclusive engagement. However, classroom discipline has not more to do with classroom management (the delivery of lessons and the support of student learning) than it does with creating strategies for slowing behavior and supporting social emotional growth. This framework of elements together gives a holistic framework to develop both academic achievement and personal growth. Project based learning and social emotional learning (SEL) are the methods that occur before discipline because they prevent behavioral challenges by linking extracurricular real-world issues with exciting and relevant curriculum. The process of building respectful and collaborative classroom culture can be understood through Behaviorist and Constructivist models to using structured content and student-centered learning. The listed practices such as setting clear objectives, providing differentiated instruction and revising of the curriculum includes repetition. This bridging process depends on teachers, who are the ones applying the ideas challenged by the curriculum and discipline strategies, on a wide and diverse realm of learners, while putting to good use technology to make learning captivating. The digital divide, for instance, is contested by academic and behavioral priorities, so flexibility and inclusiveness are required. Harmonizing curriculum and discipline can allow schools to become part of empowering environments that give students the skills they need to be successful throughout their lives.

Keywords: Curriculum Practices, Classroom Discipline, Theoretical Perspective, Educational Outcomes

Curriculum Practices and Classroom Discipline

Curriculum practices and classroom discipline were found to be the most important

features that work together in promoting academic and behavioral development in the students' school lives. Planning, designing and executing educational content is referred to as curriculum practices, aligned to pass through variegated students (Null, 2024). In a well-designed curriculum, theoretical frameworks which promote inclusivity, engagement and adaptability to different student's learning styles are included (Henson, 2015). Instead, classroom discipline is usually dealing with ways to manage student behavior in the interests of creating a learning friendly atmosphere. When aligned these elements create an integrated education framework that students perceive they are being academically and socially supported through. The research has also revealed that there is the need to incorporate discipline measures into curriculum practices since it is argued that a well-coordinated and interesting curriculum cannot totally counter misbehaviors resulting from monotony and ignorance which is the main cause of frustration.

The coupling of curriculum practices and discipline has the ability to affect both student behavior and student learning outcomes. Education is better when the curriculum is engaging and discipline is used proactively such as with clear expectations and positive teacher student relationships. In fact, indeed project-based learning methods are thought to raise students' motivation while eroding classroom disruptive behaviors (Thomas, 2000). At the same time, the curriculum SEL programs provide students with the self-regulation and interpersonal skills necessary for a civilized and collaborative classroom space. According to Jennings & Greenberg, 2009 leads to boredom in the classroom creating more respectful and collage able classroom climate. According to Khan et al. (2024), such modifications to the content of curriculum and teaching methods have to be context specific, so that it caters to the cultural and emotional needs of students. Academic goals would be aligned with the behavioral goals to give the best learning environment.

To tackle proposed alterations of discipline content and methods by students, is practically achieved with an integrated strategy, which includes continuous curriculum reviews and formative assessments. For instance, icebreakers consisting of engaging, differentiating lessons help mitigate bad classroom management practices, like nonconfrontational redirections and structured routines. Teachers also need to be concerned with culturally responsive teaching and what it means in terms of the connection between the curriculum, content, and discipline, because a sense of belonging is enhanced by an understanding of the content that can negate discipline problems that stem from being alienated. The further research should aim at designing new methodologies in which these strategies of integration of curriculum into discipline practices can be employed, and how these strategies have ramifications in support of diverse classrooms by drawing on theoretical frameworks. Creating learning environments in this way can help students succeed academically and provide the whole child, or student, with social emotional competencies for lifelong success.

Research Questions

1. How do curriculum practices influence classroom discipline?
2. What theoretical perspectives underpin the relationship between curriculum and discipline?
3. How can educators optimize curriculum practices to enhance discipline and learning outcomes?

Theoretical Frameworks

Behaviorist Perspective in Education

In the behaviorist theory the scientists like B.F. Skinner, was based on the idea: an act of reinforcement or punishment shapes behavior. Skinner in his learning states that it (learning) is a result of external stimuli and responses. As a result, positive reinforcement leads to an occurrence of certain behaviors whilst punishment augments those that are not wanted. In the classroom, behaviorist strategies are exercised, for instance, students are rewarded for good behavior and there are definite consequences when students are bad. Such a thing may be a point system in which the students are given rewards when completing homework and participating in class discussions. On a similar note, structured measures of discipline like loss of privileges for bad behavior is reflected in this. Their goal is to make students associate appropriate actions with positive outcomes, so students will behave consistently and in a predictable way (Smith & Ragan, 2005).

Approaching the curriculum from a behaviorist viewpoint, means you tend towards structured sequential content delivery. It helps in making students build on the previous knowledge sequentially. Teachers are usually very fond of using repetition, drills, and practice to make knowledge relevant to student. For example, in mathematics education, most of the time, basic skills like addition and subtraction are taught first for a more advanced version likewise; multiplication, division etc. Second, feedback on learning goals is provided by standardize testing and frequent assessments. Slavin (2020) supports the way educators are able to zero in on measurable outcomes that clearly define academic goals as a perspective that stems from a behaviorist perspective. Basic features that are consistent with a method of teaching and classroom management methods is the consistency and predictability in teaching and classroom management methods which is the method that keeps the appropriate learning environment.

Constructivist Perspective

Active student-centered learning is advocated in the framework, developed by the constructivist theories pioneered by Piaget (1950) and Vygotsky (1978). The approach of

these approaches is that students learn best when they actively explored material focused at their developmental levels and connect it to their own experiences. In constructivist curriculum, content is adapted to a student's interest and developmental stage in order to increase engagement and decrease behavioral challenges while allowing learning to be as meaningful and effective as possible.

Socio-Emotional Learning (SEL)

Socio emotional learning (SEL) is the fostering of emotional intelligence, empathy and interpersonal communication skills that are good for academic achievement as well positive behavior. Embedding SEL into daily curriculum practices, such as the research has shown by Durlak et al., (2015), helps to foster classroom harmony and has students stay actively engaged in the classroom environment. SEL is built on the foundation of helping children understand and manage their emotions that will help build healthier relationships as well as better self-regulation.

Curriculum Practices Curriculum Design

A good curriculum design takes into account the needs of students: cognitive, social and emotional. According to Tyler (1949), setting clear objectives is the cornerstone of determining objectives of curriculum development and behavioral direction. He takes a structured approach to instructional design, making sure that instructional goals and assessments marry almost perfectly with learning activities then hands this plan over to teachers, so they can have a clear purpose in front of them and are not ridden with confusion and frustration for the students.

Culturally responsive pedagogy, for example, is among more contemporary frameworks that emphasize inclusivity in curriculum design. According to Gay (2018), when curriculum includes the students' cultural backgrounds, sense of belonging and respect are supported, thereby naturally supporting positive behaviour and engagement. The approach of integrating numerous perspectives enables the instructors to create the classrooms from where all the students feel seen and trusted as this approach is an essential part in today's multicultural educational settings. Inclusivity not only brings communities together, but it allows all students to feel safe, and thrive in a supportive learning environment where equity is possible.

Instructional Strategies

How teachers deliver instruction to students can both impact them learning and

their classroom behavior. For example, inquiry-based learning allows students to do wondering and ask question actively. This approach does not only generate curiosity but also prevents interruptions because students are very much involved in their learning (Johnson & Johnson, 2020). In like manner, a cooperative learning approach facilitates teamwork and involvement of individuals with social forms of interaction that enhance building of collaboration skills where conflicts are reduced and also promotes an enhanced sense of a more cohesive and sounder classroom community. Among the benefits of using these strategies is you're ensuring that students are not merely passive listeners, but rather active participants, which results in better academic outcomes as well as better behavior.

Differentiated instruction is a powerful tool to use with the diverse needs of students. Teachers may prevent these triggers—feelings of frustration and disengagement—which are leading reasons for misbehavior (Hajis & Othman, 2024b)—by tailoring lessons and activities to each student's learning style and ability. One might cite providing varied types of assignments or even giving extra help for poor students just to make sure that nobody is left behind in the competition of achievement. If these strategies are used well, they create an atmosphere in the classroom where students and teachers are being respectful and inclusive to each other, a space that everything has to be based on, especially discipline.

Classroom Discipline Proactive Discipline Strategies

The proactive discipline strategies concentrate on the establishment of a positive and well-ordered learning environment for the aim of preventing troubles with the behavior before they may begin. A foundational step is setting clear expectation establishing at the beginning of the school year. Because of this, it maintains a steady pattern, decreases confusion, and promotes a responsibility among the students (Marzano et al., 2011). Further emphasizing this sense of ownership, we collaborate with students to jointly develop classroom norms, which means our rules are more likely to be followed because students assisted in making them (Lewis et al., 2006).

As stated by Khan et al. (2024), research indicates the need to ensure the aligning of well thought out instructional strategies to classroom norms. It provides us a more aligned view of the student where it strengthens teacher student relations as well as engagement. There's also a lot to this that involves recognizing and rewarding good behavior. Immediate, constructive feedback enhances good actions and lays the groundwork for constructive relationship (Hamre & Pianta, 2006). Another layer of clarity is also offered by the structured routine: students know what to focus on learning, because they are not distracted by either vague or even inconsistent expectations (Wong & Wong, 2009).

That also needs to be equally attended to. When teachers preclude these conflicts and consequent causes, by acknowledging them, they can also preempt those conflicts and build a more empathetic, supportive classroom climate. Social-emotional learning (SEL) programs that educate learners with critical skills such as self-regulation and conflict resolution, teaches learners skills for building resilience, which reduces behavioral disruptions (Durlak et al., 2011). Additionally, non-confrontational techniques, like proximity control or gentle redirection are also used to ensure a positive atmosphere does not escalate tensions (Emmer & Evertson, 2016).

(The studies also) show that even engaging curriculum design can itself prevent behavioral problems by treating the issue at the root cause—boredom or frustration. Students are less likely to act out and more likely to stay focused if the material is stimulating and they think it applies to them (Khan et al., 2024). Proactive discipline strategies attempt to prevent behaviors first and avoid punishment, creating a learning environment where students are given the opportunity to feel as though they matter, are cared for and ultimately encouraged to succeed both academically and socially.

Reactive Discipline Strategies

Reactive discipline strategies respond positively to misbehavior without sacrificing the positive atmosphere of the classroom. With respect to the classroom context, restorative practices are excellent, with their focus on relationship repair and a stronger sense of community being built (Thorsborne & Blood, 2013). They are the day-to-day practices which often involve a collaborative discussion, for example restorative circle or mediated dialogue which requires students to take responsibility for their actions and teach students how their behavior impacts others. As a tool to promote open communication and resolution of conflicts in the moment, in a manner that builds empathy, mutual respect, and respect for individuals' rights is the most fundamental aspect of having long term behavioral changes (Morrison, 2005).

For example, in case a student has hurt a peer the teacher can assist that student in confessing to the other student and assist them in creating plans ensuring such circumstances do not take place in the future. Beyond temporarily unblocking the problem, this approach achieves this by encouraging the team to think collaboratively and to solve problems. These are strategies that are especially helpful to develop inclusive and supportive classroom where all the student is understood and respected.

Another way to create reactive discipline is through logical consequences. While punitive measures may or may not result in negative consequences that match the misbehavior, consequences which are logical are directly tied to the behavior in question and improve student understanding of the relationship between their actions and the outcome. These consequences are given calmly and respectfully and they encourage

accountability but do not brandish embarrassment and humiliation. (Dreikurs & Grey, 1998).

For example, if a student is acting up by playing with a toy during class time and playing with it would otherwise be an acceptable activity during recess, the teacher follows reason and temporarily removes the toy from the student's reach knowing it will be available during recess. It does so to enable the student to see the effect of his or her behavior, and by doing so, promote self-regulation and decision-making skills of the child (Nelsen, 2006). They also enable fairness to be perceived logically, helping to build teacher student trust. Restorative practices and logical consequences go together to make a balanced approach to reactive discipline. These immediately address behavioral challenges as well as help promote personal growth, all in a way that will keep the classroom positive and productive.

Intersection of Curriculum and Discipline Alignment of Goals

By aligning curriculum goals with discipline strategies, education can be actualized in a cohesive construct with academic, social emotional and behavioral goals. An alignment, such as this, forms a learning environment where students are intellectually engaged and at the same time, are facilitated in building self-regulation and interpersonal abilities.

An example is project-based learning (PBL), which brings all of these together. PBL allows students to work on real world challenges, which request critical thinking, collaboration and time management (Larmer et al., 2015). These projects improve the cognitive skills but also imbibe some important life skills such as resilience and adaptability (Thomas, 2000). Clear behavioral expectations become integrated into these projects and help students gain awareness of how their actions affect their academic success (Marzano & Marzano, 2003) and positive discipline practices.

An aligned approach is one that also points to the need for curriculum and discipline practices to be culturally responsible. Culturally Responsive Teaching takes into consideration diverse students with their diverse cultural context (Gay, 2018). If discipline strategies are based on such principles, then you can create a community or a place of belonging and, therefore, of equity in the classroom.

Alternatively, restorative justice practices can supplement the academic targets by tackling conflicts with a view of transforming sought understanding obligation substitute for punitive measures (Evans & Vaandering, 2016). This alignment is conducive to fostering a good class dynamic and to ensure that students get an all-round holistic educational experience, wherein they feel valued and actually in charge. Educators can create a place where students can achieve academic excellence as well as emotional well-

being, by incorporating curriculum goals and discipline strategies that are aligned. With such a place, their students will be ready for the rigors of the modern world.

Impact of Curriculum on Behavior

A well-designed curriculum, however, can contribute significantly to the nurturing of positive student behavior by reducing confusion and frustration, two common sources of misbehavior. Engaging content and relevant content help capture the students' interest and prevents the students to disrupt the class (Fredricks et al. 2004). For instance, project-based learning (PBL), which is problem-based learning to solve real world problems, has been found to increase students' attention and motivation to new topics (Thomas, 2000). Students will feel a sense of accomplishment and feel they know where they are going when they have clear lesson objectives and scaffolded activities (Wang et al., 1993).

They further help students to engage more because culturally responsive curricula reflect students' backgrounds. Chronology of events daily routine dictionary app homework assignment notes economics physics biology are all examples of the curriculum they use (Gay, 2018). The match between the curriculum and students' developmental and cognitive needs opens the door to both academic and social success.

However, when curricula are poor, they can also unintentionally cause behavioral problems. Students feel unenthusiastic about and become frustrated by lessons that are unclear, irrelevant, or not differentiated properly (Marzano & Marzano, 2003); these lessons may cause them to disengage themselves and act out. In addition, rigid or monotonous content can alienate students as well, and thus emphasizing the need to create flexibility and the diversity in the instructional design.

Hands on and collaborative activities tend to address these challenges with research showing that providing these activities can help students take ownership of their learning (Jones et al., 2006). Frequent formative assessments also mean that curriculum can respond to student progress in a way that avoids that feeling of not being good enough or being overwhelmed (Black & Wiliam, 1998). In order to achieve the right balance between academic rigor and behavioral engagement, the plan is to regularly review or refine the curriculum.

Role of Teachers

Teachers are the backbone that connects curriculum with discipline and it is their responsibility to bring the learning objectives contained in the curriculum to life in the classroom. This requires a teacher to carry out multiple responsibilities at one time: planning lessons, teaching the lesson instruction, assessing student's progress and managing students' behavior.

It has also become a consistent finding in research that teachers can penetrate this impact on student outcomes. Research, as conducted by Hattie (2009) has shown that teacher effectiveness counts among the most important factors that determine student achievement. This will provide an inclusive environment which will allow all the students to thrive in efficacious environment where teacher uses differentiated instructional methods to respond to differing learning styles and needs. In bridging that gap between embodied, engaging learning experience and theoretical curriculum framework, they help us.

Another very important aspect of a teacher's role is classroom management. Teachers in well managed classrooms can maximize time spent learning and minimize distractions. It consists of laying down rules and setting up positive relationship as well as making sure that discipline strategies are not only consistent, but disciplinary (Marzano & Marzano, 2003).

Teachers also facilitate students learning of critical skills, like self-regulation, critical thinking, and collaborative interaction. Teachers through the provision of a supportive and nurturing environment, have the effect of raising students' academics but more so creating a healthy social economic development in students. Understanding the educational experience and effective classroom discipline helps qualify implementation of curriculum implementation to teachers which play a significant role towards well rounded student's development.

Challenges and Considerations Addressing Diverse Needs

The content of today's classrooms becomes increasingly diverse and educators have to pick curricula that they can accommodate one in a wide range of students' needs. Linguistic abilities, cultural backgrounds, and cognitive capacities are in their differences, but students' varied learning styles and experience must be answered using different approaches. According to Banks (2015) failing to identify and address these differences leave students 'feeling disconnected' which may contribute to behavioral difficulties.

Scaffolding learning in accordance with students' unique cultural context and prior learning, is also emphasized in Vygotsky's (1978) sociocultural theory. Thus, creating equitable learning environment is particularly effective when used with strategies such as differentiated instruction and universal design for learning (UDL). One of the ways which teachers have the chance to deliver content, activities, and assessments differently to fit every individual student's ability and need is differentiated instruction (Tomlinson, 2014). UDL also stresses multiple means of engagement and expression while also offering multiple means of representation, so that all students can be involved (Meyer et al., 2014). Through these practices teachers practice inclusivity and breaking down barriers to success

in the classroom.

Besides inclusive teaching methods, linguistic diversity also asks for specific strategies to support students learning in a second language. Research has shown that these students have particular cognitive and emotional demands (Cummins, 2000) which concern their academic progress. Sheltered instruction refers to a set of techniques to integrate subject content as well as language development to help educators. This includes providing visuals, the use of real-world objects, and hands on activities to more easily make lessons accessible (Echevarria et al., 2017).

Another important approach that I believe in the culturally responsive teaching (CRT); this teaches and incorporates the students' cultural backgrounds into the teaching learning process. Ladson-Billings (1995) asserts that CRT both recognizes a students' identities as well as creates an opportunity to link that identity to academic content. When teachers build classrooms that celebrate linguistic and cultural diversity, student engagement is encouraged and misbehavior is reduced.

Aligning with broader equity and inclusion goals, this proactive approach ultimately positions students to succeed in diverse, expanding world in ways that go beyond hard skills to help an individual succeed in diverse societies. Strategies that promote diversity should be teachers' priority, because they allow all students' have a feeling of being valued, understood, and supported in their academic life.

Balancing Academic and Behavioral Objectives

Teachers continue to struggle to find the right balance: between academic rigor and behavioral management. It can also prevent students from having balanced development while focusing too much in one or the other. To ensure students not only succeed academically but also develop the social emotional and behavioral skills needed to succeed in life; we must strike this balance.

Technological Integration

Modern education has completely been revolutionized by the use of technology in the classrooms by providing new ways of creating memorable learning experiences that meet the needs of differing students. And there are tools to support, tools like interactive white boards, learning management systems (LMS) and educational apps, encouraging activity and engagement. For instance, Kahoot! and Google classroom provide easy ways to increase participation and ease administrative work and consequently make learning smooth and interactive (Hwang & Chen, 2020).

Furthermore, technology supports personal learning by presenting lessons according to the needs of the individual student, and to facilitate the accessibility and

inclusiveness of content for all (McKnight et al., 2016). In addition, with cutting edge tools such as augmented and virtual reality students can explore topics in exciting and immersive ways that expand their curiosity and motivation (Sung et al., 2017).

Yet however, integrating technology has to be done cautiously. As with most things, an overreliance on digital tools may stifle critical thinking and interpersonal skills, just as much as the apps can support it.

Although there are many advantages to integrating technology into school and classroom, there are also disadvantages, challenges for educators, and schools. One of the most significant problems is a digital divide, i.e., not all people have equal access to devices and the internet to narrow a gap in access to education (van Dijk, 2020). Moreover, while students have access to their devices, through which they could stray off task from the lesson that is being delivered, it necessitates strong classroom management strategies (Kimmons et al., 2021).

It is no wonder that adopting new tools can also mean steep learning curves for educators, and why ongoing professional development is vital to ensure technology is used most effectively (Ritzhaupt et al., 2019). Additionally, as digital platforms continue to rely on more digital technology, privacy and cybersecurity are becoming large issues. For schools, it becomes imperative to take up school policies regarding the stringent measures to implement them to protect student's data.

Schools need to prepare their teachers, upgrade infrastructure and take a balanced position that reinforces technology in the curriculum, but don't sacrifice the role of traditional teaching. This way educators can leverage benefits of the technology to support learning while ensuring effective classroom environment (Johnson et al., 2020).

Implications for Practice Policy Recommendations

Policymakers have a crucial role to play in backing up educators by promoting the professional development programs in which they could gain in skills in curriculum design and in classroom management. These tools will help teachers to be more equipped in serving different needs of their students. Further, social emotional learning (SEL) must be integrated into state and national curricula as a means of promoting academic success, as well as positive behavior.

Practical Strategies for Educators

1. Incorporate SEL into daily lessons: It's about embedding activities that promote empathy, self-awareness and self-regulation into daily instruction in order to improve classroom discipline and create a more supportive learning environment.

2. Engage students in curriculum development: When we invite students to design their own learning experience, they become more motivated and less resistant. It makes all the difference when students feel some sense of ownership, because then they actually care and stay engaged.

3. Utilize data-driven approaches: By regularly monitoring both academic progress and behavioral trends teachers are able to adjust instruction based on information gathered. As a result, teaching strategies remain relevant and relevant to student needs.

Conclusion

Thoughtful curriculum practices and effective classroom discipline practices are integrated that support academic achievement and social emotional growth. Proactive discipline procedures, prioritization of SEL, and inclusion of the curriculum can all help shape the environment that will capture engagement and at the same time discourage misbehavior.

In this process, teachers use their skills and flexibility to see that every child becomes all round. Future research is encouraged to continue refining these approaches to suit classrooms of increasing diversity and to meet the shifting needs of students. This helps educators create well rounded students that are successful academically and able to conquer life beyond the classroom.

References

- Banks, J. A. (2015). *Cultural diversity and education: Foundations, curriculum, and teaching* (6th ed.). Routledge.
- Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). Assessment and classroom learning. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 5(1), 7–74. DOI: [10.1080/0969595980050102] (<https://doi.org/10.1080/0969595980050102>)
- Cummins, J. (2000). *Language, power, and pedagogy: Bilingual children in the crossfire*. Multilingual Matters.
- Dreikurs, R., & Grey, L. (1998). *Logical Consequences: A New Approach to Discipline*. New York: Wiley.
- Durlak, J. A., Weissberg, R. P., Dymnicki, A. B., Taylor, R. D., & Schellinger, K. B. (2011). The impact of enhancing students' social and emotional learning: A meta-analysis of school-based universal interventions. *Child Development*, 82(1), 405–432. DOI: [10.1111/j.1467-8624.2010.01564.x](<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2010.01564.x>)
- Echevarria, J., Vogt, M., & Short, D. J. (2017). *Making content comprehensible for English learners: The SIOP model* (5th ed.). Pearson.

- Emmer, E. T., & Evertson, C. M. (2016). Classroom management for middle and high school teachers. Pearson.
- Evans, K., & Vaandering, D. (2016). The Little Book of Restorative Justice in Education: Fostering Responsibility, Healing, and Hope in Schools. Good Books.
- Fredricks, J. A., Blumenfeld, P. C., & Paris, A. H. (2004). School engagement: Potential of the concept, state of the evidence. *Review of Educational Research*, 74(1), 59–109. DOI: [10.3102/00346543074001059] (<https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543074001059>)
- Gay, G. (2018). Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice (3rd ed.). Teachers College Press.
- Hajis, S. A., & Othman, N. (2024b). Navigating Challenges and Strategies in Implementing Differentiated Instruction: A Conceptual Overview. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 14(8). <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v14-i8/22153>
- Hamre, B. K., & Pianta, R. C. (2006). Student-teacher relationships. In *Children's needs III: Development, prevention, and intervention* (pp. 59–71). National Association of School Psychologists.
- Hattie, J. (2009). Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement. Routledge.
- Henson, K. T. (2015). Curriculum planning: Multiculturalism, constructivism, and education reform. Waveland Press.
- Hwang, G.-J., & Chen, C.-H. (2020). Effects of a collective problem-solving mobile learning approach on students' problem-solving performance, learning attitudes, and higher-order thinking tendency. *Computers & Education*, 146, 103759. DOI: 10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103759
- Jennings, P. A., & Greenberg, M. T. (2009). The prosocial classroom: Teacher social and emotional competence in relation to student and classroom outcomes. *Review of Educational Research*, 79(1), 491–525. DOI: [10.3102/0034654308325693] (<https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654308325693>)
- Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2020). The new circles of learning: Cooperation in the classroom and school. ASCD.
- Johnson, L., Adams Becker, S., Estrada, V., & Freeman, A. (2020). NMC Horizon Report: 2020 Higher Education Edition. *EDUCAUSE*. URL: [<https://library>]
- Jones, A. B., et al. (2023). Difficulties experienced by school teachers and principals in the management and implementation of the revised curriculum. *Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies*, 50(12), 18-24.
- Jones, K. A., Jones, J. L., & Vermette, P. J. (2006). Six common lesson planning pitfalls: Recommendations for novice educators. *Education*, 127(1), 49–56.
- Jones, M. (2023). Effective classroom management: The role of curriculum and teacher

- practices. *Journal of Educational Research*, 96(2), 150-165.
- Khan, A. B., Mustafa, J., Ali, N., Ahmad, T., & Ruhail, M. (2024). School uniform influences students' social adjustment in the community at secondary school level in District Bannu, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. *Qlantic Journal of Social Sciences*, 5(2), 291-300. DOI: 10.55737/qjss.973456419
- Khan, A. B., Ren, K., Mafruhah, S., Rusmita, T., Ahmad, T., & Ali, N. (2024). Book review on "Curriculum from Theory to Practice" of Wesley Null. *Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies*, 50(12), 18-24. DOI: 10.9734/ajess/2024/v50i121672 (<https://doi.org/10.9734/ajess/2024/v50i121672>)
- Kimmons, R., Miller, B., Amador, J., Desjardins, C. D., & Hall, C. (2021). The K-12 educational technology handbook. *EdTech Books*. URL: <https://edtechbooks.org/k12handbook>
- Kimmons, R., Stauffer, M., & Spencer, D. (2021). Technology integration in education: Challenges and opportunities. *Computers in Human Behavior Reports*, 4, 100118.
- Ladson-Billings, G. (1995). Toward a theory of culturally relevant pedagogy. *American Educational Research Journal*, 32(3), 465-491.
- Larmer, J., Mergendoller, J. R., & Boss, S. (2015). Setting the standard for project-based learning. ASCD.
- Lewis, R., Romi, S., Katz, Y. J., & Qui, X. (2006). Teachers' classroom discipline and student misbehavior in Australia, China, and Israel. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 22(1), 64-75. DOI: [10.1016/j.tate.2005.09.005] (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2005.09.005>)
- Marzano, R. J., & Marzano, J. S. (2003). *Classroom Management That Works: Research-Based Strategies for Every Teacher*. ASCD.
- Marzano, R. J., & Marzano, J. S. (2003). The key to classroom management. *Educational Leadership*, 61(1), 6-13. URL: [<http://www.ascd.org>] (<http://www.ascd.org>)
- Marzano, R. J., Marzano, J. S., & Pickering, D. J. (2011). *Classroom management that works: Research-based strategies for every teacher*. ASCD.
- McKnight, K., O'Malley, K., Ruzic, R., Horsley, M. K., Franey, J. J., & Bassett, K. (2016). Teaching in a digital age: How educators use technology to improve student learning. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 48(3), 194-211. DOI: 10.1080/15391523.2016.1175856
- Meyer, A., Rose, D. H., & Gordon, D. (2014). *Universal design for learning: Theory and practice*. CAST Professional Publishing.
- Morrison, B. (2005). *Restorative Justice in Schools: An International Perspective*. Restorative Practices Conference Proceedings.
- Nelsen, J. (2006). *Positive Discipline in the Classroom: Developing Mutual Respect, Cooperation, and Responsibility*. New York: Ballantine Books.

- Null, W. (2024). *Curriculum from Theory to Practice*. Teachers College Press.
- Ritzhaupt, A. D., Huggins-Manley, A. C., & Wilson, M. L. (2019). A review of self-efficacy in STEM and technology education: Implications for research and practice. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 59*, 101822. DOI: 10.1016/j.cedpsych.2019.101822
- Skinner, B. F. (1953). *Science and human behavior*. Macmillan.
- Slavin, R. E. (2020). *Educational Psychology: Theory and Practice*. Pearson.
- Smith, P. L., & Ragan, T. J. (2005). *Instructional Design*. Wiley.
- Sung, Y.-T., Chang, K.-E., & Liu, T.-C. (2017). The effects of integrating mobile devices with teaching and learning on students' learning performance: A meta-analysis and research synthesis. *Computers & Education, 94*, 252–275. DOI: 10.1016/j.compedu.2015.11.008
- Thomas, J. W. (2000). A review of research on project-based learning. Buck Institute for Education. URL: [<https://www.bie.org>] (<https://www.bie.org>)
- Thorsborne, M., & Blood, P. (2013). *Implementing restorative practices in schools: A practical guide to transforming school communities*. Routledge.
- Tomlinson, C. A. (2014). *The differentiated classroom: Responding to the needs of all learners* (2nd ed.). ASCD.
- Tyler, R. W. (1949). *Basic principles of curriculum and instruction*. University of Chicago Press.
- van Dijk, J. A. G. M. (2020). Closing the digital divide: The role of digital technologies on social development, well-being of all and the approach of the COVID-19 pandemic. *UN Chronicle*. URL: <https://www.un.org/en/chronicle>
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
- Wang, M. C., Haertel, G. D., & Walberg, H. J. (1993). Toward a knowledge base for school learning. *Review of Educational Research, 63*(3), 249–294. DOI: [10.3102/00346543063003249] (<https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543063003249>)
- Wong, H. K., & Wong, R. T. (2009). *The first days of school: How to be an effective teacher*. Harry K. Wong Publications.